## Intellectual Property: Substantive Search and Examination of Patents

## INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AFRICA 26 – 27 FEBRUARY 2013









## **PRESENTER**

 MacDonald Netshitenzhe: Chief Director: Policy & Legislation, CCRD, the dti



### **CONTENTS**

- Purpose of the Presentation
- Rationale
- Policy Objective
- Discussion
- Recommendation
- Conclusion



### **PURPOSE**

 To share views on how South African Patent System can Migrate from Depository System to Substantive Search and Examination System



#### **RATIONALE**

- Reflection on the Depository System as against the Examination System
- The following should be taken into account:
  - the benefits from each system such as accessibility and cutting of costs
  - User-friendly
  - Job creation
  - Validity of a patent

\_



## **POLICY OBJECTIVE**

 To provide a legal framework for a system that is reliable and benefit owners, users and the country as a whole



### **LEGAL OPTIONS**

#### **Depositary System that concentrates on formalities**

#### **Examination System that is involved on Resources:**

- People
- Time
- Finance
- Areas of Strength
- Markets, etc.



# **Background**

- South Africa uses one of the two system of granting patent, namely the Depositary System
- A need has arisen to reflect on the system if it is still viable
- There is a need to benchmark with other jurisdictions, in particular with similar economies
- International benchmarking should also be conducted in order to inform policy positions



# **WIPO Dispensation**

- Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT)
  - SA designated Search and Examination Authorities such as the EPO and United States. SA has a final authority (sovereignty) whether or not to grant the patent, but for Depositary system
  - Confirmed with WIPO Director General recently that Depositary and Examination Systems can cohabit in one office and country
  - Patent Reform (Road map)- although this is no longer in the agenda, it is worth discussing it here. Sovereignty can be compromised in this regard



### **EPO**

- EPO is a Search and Examination Authority for SA for PCT matters. Sovereignty guaranteed, but for Depositary System shortcomings
- EPO proposed Validation of Patents concepts. Sovereignty compromised



# **Need for SA Examination System**

- SA is strong in the area of patents in the following classes:
  - Organic chemistry, engineering (mining), petroleum and biodiversity (plants).
    This may suggest that SA should incrementally establish examination capabilities. Due to this the draft IP Policy suggests cohabitation of Depositary/Examination Systems.



# Capacity Building

- Coordination of Science points that SA is strong in can lead to possibility of starting with Examination
- Joint venturing with EPO and other similar offices can encourage technology know-how. Agreements for recognition as search authorities with various countries can be entered into.



### Conclusion

 Starting point is to have both two systems and building capacities incrementally for Examination purposes. Joint ventures can be entered into with offices that are well established in this regard.



## **THANK YOU**

